

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

Skadden Program Evaluation

Course: <i>Arbitral Women - New York Stories</i>	Date: <i>November 17, 2021</i>
Format: <i>Web Conference</i>	Time: <i>9:00 AM ET</i>
Instructor(s): <i>Yasmine Lahlou, Louise Woods et al.</i>	Location: <i>N/A</i>
Credits: <i>1.0</i>	

1. Format *(Essay)*

Was the format appropriate for the information presented? If not, why?

2. Content *(Rating)*

Did the program content satisfy the objectives stated prior to or at the beginning of the session?

Excellent Good Fair Poor

3. Presenter Skills *(Rating)*

Was the presenter prepared, comfortable with the materials, adept at explaining the concept(s)?

Excellent Good Fair Poor

4. Materials *(Rating)*

Were the materials appropriate and useful?

Excellent Good Fair Poor

5. Timing *(Multiple Choice)*

Was the session provided

too soon too late to be most valuable at the right time

6. Technology *(Rating)*

Was the technology used

Excellent Good Fair Poor

7. Physical Setting *(Rating)*

Please rate the room facility

Excellent Good Fair Poor

8. Length of program *(Multiple Choice)*

Was the length of the program

just right too long too short

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

Skadden Program Evaluation

Course:	Date:
	Time:
	Location:

9. Strongest portion (*Essay*)

What was the strongest portion of the session?

10. Weakest portion (*Essay*)

What was the weakest portion of the session?

11. Improvements (*Essay*)

How would you improve this session?

12. Other topics (*Essay*)

Are there other related topics you would like to hear about?

13. Take away (*Essay*)

What did you take away from attending this program?

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

Skadden Program Evaluation

Course:	Date:
	Time:
	Location:

14. Recommendations *(Multiple Choice)*

If a colleague asked you about this course, what would your recommendation be?

not recommend strongly recommend recommend with reservations

15. Comments *(Essay)*

Additional comments